

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS. Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/501,787 02/11/00 COEN 03495.0187 **EXAMINER** HM22/0703 Finnegan Henderson Farabow Garrett & Dun JIANG.D PAPER NUMBER 1300 I Street NW ART UNIT Washington DC 20005-3315 1646 DATE MAILED: 07/03/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

	Application No.	Applicant(a)
Office Action Summary		Applicant(s)
	09/501,787	COEN ET AL.
omoo nodon ouninaly	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dong Jiang	1646
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>	
	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claims 1-31 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).		
		·
Attachment(s)		
 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	19) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/501,787

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

It is noted that the claims are replete with vague and indefinite language, antecedent basis issues and improper multiple dependency. In the interest of compact prosecution, applicant is encouraged to cancel the pending claims and provide a carefully reviewed set of new claims in response to this restriction requirement.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-16, and 28-31, in part, drawn to a method for in vivo delivery of a composition of DNA or cells containing such to CNS, which reads on gene therapy, classified in class 514, subclass 44.
- II. Claims 1-11, and 31, in part, drawn to a method for in vivo delivery of a composition of protein to CNS, which reads on protein therapy, classified in class 514, subclass 2.
- III. Claims 17-27, in part, drawn to a polypeptide, a polynucleotide encoding such, a vector, and a cell containing the polynucleotide, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are distinct, wherein the method of Invention I is drawn to a method of gene therapy, whereas the method of Invention II is drawn to a method of protein therapy. The active ingredient in each method is distinct from each other, and can not be used in the other method. Therefore, non-coextensive searches are required.

The polynucleotide of Invention III is related to the method of Invention I as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the polynucleotide as claimed may be used as a probe in nucleic acid hybridization assay.

Application/Control Number: 09/501,787

Art Unit: 1646

The polypeptide of Invention III is related to the method of Invention II as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed may be used for the production of antibodies.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and/or recognized divergent subject matters, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Furthermore, if applicants elect any of the three groups set forth above, further restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- A. One specific tetanus toxin polypeptide, and
- B. One specific "molecule" such as those recited in claims 8 and 22.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Although there are no provisions under the section for "Relationship of Inventions" in M.P.E.P. § 806.05 for inventive groups that are directed to *different* products, restriction is deemed to be proper because these products constitute patentably distinct inventions for the following reasons. Each of the DNA molecules and polypeptides encoded thereby in groups A and B has unique structural and functional features, requiring a unique search of the prior art. Searching all of the molecules in a single patent application would provide an undue search burden on the examiner and the USPTO's resources because of the non-coextensive nature of these searches.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

In order to be fully responsive, Applicant must elect one from Groups I - III, one from Group A, and one from Group B, even though the requirement is traversed.

Application/Control Number: 09/501,787

Art Unit: 1646

Applicant is advised that neither I - III nor A and B are species election requirements; rather, each of I – III, A and B is a restriction requirement.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Advisory Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Dong Jiang whose telephone number is 703-305-1345. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler, can be reached on (703) 308-6564. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-0294.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

LORRAINE SPECTOR PRIMARY EXAMINER